# Photo-based Multimedia Applications using Image Features Detection

Rui Nóbrega and Nuno Correia

CITI, Departamento de Informática, Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Lisboa, Portugal

#### Keywords: Computer Vision, Augmented Reality, Computer Graphics.

Abstract: This paper proposes a framework for the creation of interactive multimedia applications that take advantage of detected features from user-captured photos. The goal is to create games, architectural and space planning applications that interact with visual elements in the images such as walls, floors and empty spaces. The framework takes advantage of a semi-automatic algorithm to detect scene elements and camera parameters. Using the detected features, virtual objects can be inserted in the scene. In this paper several example applications are presented and discussed, and the reliability of the detection algorithm is compared with other systems. The presented solution analyses the photos using graph-cuts for segmentation, vanishing point detection and line analysis to detect the scene elements. The main advantage of the proposed framework is the semi-automatic creation of the tri-dimensional model to be used in mixed reality applications. This enables scenarios where the user can be responsible for the input scene without much prior knowledge or experience. The current implemented examples include a furniture positioning system and a snake game with a user-built maze in the real world. The proposed system is ideal for multimedia mobile applications where interaction is combined with the back camera of the device.

## **1 INTRODUCTION**

This paper presents a mixed reality system where visual features are acquired from user-captured photos and used to create interactive applications. The main motivation for this work is to create a framework that enables the user to virtually reshape a photographed scene. By adding virtual objects, changing colors or changing lights the scene can be transformed into something different. In this paper the main focus will be given to the introduction of virtual objects that react to the scene as if they had physical properties such as mass or weight. The applications of this system could be the creation of furniture testing simulations in user spaces, virtual modifying of old photos, or spatial-aware games.

The main problem presented in this paper is how to acquire spatial features from a single image that will allow the seamless embedding of virtual objects in the scene for augmented reality (AR) applications. A user with no special skills should be able to acquire and manipulate the image in order to generate the input for the given AR applications.

The expected contributions of this work are the introduction of a semi-automatic method for high-



Figure 1: Augmented reality system, taking advantage of visual high-level features detected from the image. The virtual box is aligned with the world, and is laid on a virtual plane that emulates the floor.

level feature extraction and several use-case prototypes, which implement and make use of the given features. The proposed use-case prototypes are: a virtual reshaping tool (Figure 1) to contextually add virtual objects in photos, and a virtual snake game that uses a maze based in real world objects or shapes (Figure 7).

The presented solution is based on an initial image taken by the user. The image is analyzed to find the floor, vanishing points and room orientation. The augmented reality application introduces virtual ob-

Nóbrega R. and Correia N..

298 Photo-based Multimedia Applications using Image Features Detection. DOI: 10.5220/0004244702980307

Copyright © 2013 SCITEPRESS (Science and Technology Publications, Lda.)

In Proceedings of the International Conference on Computer Graphics Theory and Applications and International Conference on Information Visualization Theory and Applications (GRAPP-2013), pages 298-307 [SRN: 978-989-8565-46-4

jects with a guideline and snapping system to assist the user in the introduction of objects as seen in Figure 1.

# 2 BACKGROUND AND RELATED WORK

Most augmented reality applications depend on some form of computer vision technique (Forsyth and Ponce, 2002; Szeliski, 2010) by recognizing marks or using image tracking. Most AR applications (Tillon and Marchal, 2011; Turcsanyi-Szabo and Simon, 2011) use real-time video from cameras, and then try to superimpose virtual objects and animations. One known example is the ARtoolkit<sup>1</sup> where virtual 3D objects are introduced above predefined markers.

In this work, the main focus is given to systems that construct a model of the world and use the same model to enhance the AR application (Wagner et al., 2010; Yu and Malik, 2008). In the PTAM project (Klein and Murray, 2007), a model of a small workspace is instantly built by translating a camera sideways. The model detects a plane where games can be played.

Many techniques can be used to acquire features for scene reconstruction. Our current approach is based on extraction of features from a single image. Currently some projects focus more on examining the perspective knowing details such as vanishing lines and a reference plane (Criminisi et al., 2000), others analyse depth cues from the image and discover 3D by connecting small images planes (Saxena et al., 2009). The analysis consists essentially of detecting interesting properties from the image lines (Gupta, 2010; Gupta et al., 2011). The goal is to detect structure without the help of markers (Simon et al., 2000).

Using the detected features we intend to create AR applications with new forms of interaction including (Del Pero et al., 2011; Gupta et al., 2011; Nóbrega and Correia, 2012; Lai et al., 2011). Most of the processing requires the analysis of the image in search for main lines and vanishing points (Del Pero et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2009; Rother, 2002; Yu and Malik, 2008). Several techniques have also been studied to avoid clutter and noise (Hedau and Hoiem, 2009), reconstruct architectural environments (Pollefeys et al., 2007; Rother, 2002) and automatically calibrate cameras (Pollefeys et al., 1998).

Many systems use several images to reconstruct the scene, namely stereo techniques (Sinha et al., 2009), parallel images (Klein and Murray, 2007), several photos (Debevec et al., 1996; Ishikawa et al., 2011) or just plain video (Crandall et al., 2011; Pollefeys et al., 2007) as in the structure from motion techniques. Some systems rely on extra sensors or special cameras such as depth cameras (Izadi et al., 2011) to acquire additional information. Currently this work focuses on cameras with no extra hardware, such as the ones present on mobile devices or webcams.

Other systems rely on segmenting the scene into super-pixels (Liu and Gould, 2010; Gould et al., 2009; Kowdle et al., 2011) and infer the underlying model. The Make3D (Saxena et al., 2009) project uses segmentation to automatically create an explorable 3D view from a picture or painting. In our work, segmentation is used to detect the floor (Rother and Kolmogorov, 2004) with a human in the loop technique (Kowdle et al., 2011). Some projects (Karsch et al., 2011) use photo realistic rendering of synthetic objects on top of photographs using a human semiassisted model.

Different approaches include using Bayesian inference (Furukawa et al., 2009), to detect scene orientation. Some authors give more attention to scenes with clutter (Hedau and Hoiem, 2009; Wang and Gould, 2010), while others are more interested in realtime applications (Klein and Murray, 2007; Wagner et al., 2010). The main difference of our system is the integration of single view techniques into a human assisted mixed reality application.

### **3 CONCEPT OVERVIEW**

With the advent of tablets and smartphones with frontal and back cameras new opportunities arise for multimedia applications that mix reality and virtual content. Images and videos can be recorded instantly and immediately used in the system or saved for later interaction. Another obvious possibility is the realtime interaction with the real world using the camera.



Figure 2: Handheld application using camera. The current prototypes run in tablets and regular computers with web-cams.

Using real video or captured images as input for interactive mobile applications (Figure 2) presents several challenges that need to be addressed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>ARtoolkit, http://www.hitl.washington.edu/artoolkit/.

First of all, there is a factor of uncertainty related with the user skill to capture good quality images. There can be limitations related with the device camera itself, as the image can be blurred and the scene poorly illuminated. These are common problems in all computer vision projects.

At the application level, users may have difficulties in positioning or moving objects in a 3D space. They may expect that the affordances of the real world should apply to the virtual objects in the AR application. As an example, virtual objects should collide and be occluded by objects in the real world.

There are several properties that can be explored in mixed reality in order to create rich multimedia applications, such as: 3D structure, Segmentation, Object detection and Tracking. Combining several techniques is sometimes difficult due to increasing processing cost (image processing is usually a heavy task). The main goal of this work is to create a framework that can be used to simplify the process of creating a multimedia application based on images captured by the user. Currently the main focus is on 3D structure detection from a single image and segmentation of user assigned areas.

## **4 PROBLEM FORMULATION**

The fundamental concept for the proposed system is the possibility of creating mixed and augmented reality applications that take advantage of detected visual features inside images of real world spaces. These images are obtained with regular cameras with no additional hardware.

The research problem being addressed here is how to embed virtual objects inside an image in such a way that the virtual objects react to physical concepts (i.e., gravity, obstacles) inside the virtual world. As seen in the section 2, several previous studies (Karsch et al., 2011) have found different answers to this problem.

The main problem can be divided into the following different sub problems:

$$\delta = f(I),\tag{1}$$

 $\phi = g(I, \delta, C), and \tag{2}$ 

$$arApp(I, O, M)$$
 where  $M = \{\phi, \delta, C\}$  (3)

First (1) the input image *I* must be analyzed using *f* to search for low-level features  $\delta$  such as edges, straight lines or relevant points. Using  $\delta$ , the image *I* and the camera parameters *C*, the function *g* (2) attempts to find high-level  $\phi$  such as vanishing points, horizon, room orientation or floor. The camera

parameters C and all the detected features and constrains, compose the virtual model M of the scene. The final AR application (3) receives the model, the virtual objects O and the input image I.

Our solution is based on the interpretation of the main straight lines of the scene (Lee et al., 2009; Rother, 2002) to find vanishing points ( $\varphi_1$ ) and scene orientation ( $\varphi_2$ ) and segmentation techniques (Rother and Kolmogorov, 2004) to find the floor ( $\varphi_3$ ). The current model focuses mainly on these three high-level features but can be easily extended to incorporate others (Simon, 2006) such as walls or plain surfaces. These features are primarily obtained trough automatic analysis of the image (f and g) but may need to be refined through a human in the loop process (Kowdle et al., 2011) where the user can give simple hints to help the algorithm.

The image I is assumed to be an image of a Manhattan World scenario (Coughlan and Yuille, 1999; Del Pero et al., 2011), where the floor is in the lower part of the image and there is a large uncluttered space in the room, where the virtual objects can be laid on. The camera parameters C are assumed to be known, and when they are not, they can sometimes be extrapolated from the vanishing points, or several prior models can be assumed (e.g., webcams).

## **5** ALGORITHM DETAILS

The implementation of our solution is summarized in Figure 3 and the next subsections will detail the construction of the augmented reality model M.

## 5.1 Input

The initial input of the problem is a raster image I that meets the assumptions described in the previous section. Additionally, the image should not be very blurred and it should contain enough detail for feature detection. In the current prototype, images can be obtained through a webcam or by loading a file from the disk. To help the user select a good picture the system does a real-time analysis of the image to understand if it has enough potential. To assess if the image is complex enough for further analysis, FAST <sup>2</sup> feature points and lines segments are extracted. For the image to be accepted the amount of detected features must be above a certain threshold value (dependent on the resolution).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>FAST in OpenCV, http://docs.opencv.org/modules/ features2d/doc/feature\_detection\_and\_description.html



Figure 3: Steps involved in semi-automatic construction of two Augmented Reality applications. The contribution of the user consists in providing an input image and roughly selecting the floor. The AR model is then automatically inferred.

## 5.2 Region Segmentation

The detection of the scene floor ( $\varphi_3$ ) is necessary for the AR application to understand where to place the virtual object. In order to detect the floor the system requires the assistance of the user. Using the input image the user has to roughly sketch a line around what she considers to be the floor as seen in Figure 4. More complex systems (i.e., statistical, prior knowledge base inference) could be introduced to do this step automatically, but for now the current system does not focus on this topic.

The rough sketch is then used as input for an implementation of the grabcut algorithm (Rother and Kolmogorov, 2004) to define a mask  $m_3$ , where for each pixel it states if it belongs to the class floor or not. This mask will later be important to verify collisions with the floor.



Figure 4: Using the grabcut algorithm to extract the floor mask (on the right). The user roughly sketches the green line (best seen in color) around the floor and the algorithm extracts the dominant floor pixels.

### 5.3 Vanishing Point Extraction

After acquiring the image and a estimate of where the floor lays the scene must be analyzed in search for the remaining features ( $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$ , as stated in *g*).

The vanishing points  $(\phi_1)$ , are crucial to the augmented reality system. Virtual objects must fade into the same horizon as the scene. If at least two major vanishing points are found, the field-of-view can be extrapolated. The world orientation  $(\phi_2)$  of the scene (more details on the next section) can be identified and emulated.

Our algorithm for finding candidate vanishing points (Lee et al., 2009; Rother, 2002) is briefly described in the Algorithm 1 code block. It analyzes the main lines containing the scene and tries to extrapolate the three most common line directions of the scene, bearing in mind that parallel lines have common vanishing points. Figure 5 illustrates the current algorithm. The main difference in our algorithm is that we analyze the scene several times  $(e \times h)$  with different parameters, namely e different thresholds and erode and dilate operations in the Canny filter, and h different gap tolerances in the Hough transform line detector. To be accurate the current used implementation of the Hough line detector returns line segments. The choice of the best set of parameters is delayed until the last moment to evaluate through a score function which one gives better results.

The score function helps to decide which parameters give a better, uncluttered view of the scene. To calculate *s*, the first score, all lines are classified using its slope as Horizontal  $(0^{\circ} \pm 5^{\circ})$ , Vertical  $(90^{\circ} \pm 5^{\circ})$ and Oblique (everything else). Considering only the Oblique line segments, for each line the number of intersections *int*(*i*) with other line segments is counted.

#### Algorithm 1: Generating Candidate Vanishing Points.

```
Let I be the input image
Let E[e] be the vector of e images
    containing Canny edges of the
    scene with different thresholds.
Let L[e][h] be the vector of e \times h line
    sets containing different
    detected lines for each E[i].
Let S \leftarrow \emptyset be the score of the line
    set.
Let S' \leftarrow 0 be the reference to the
    line set.
for i = 1 until e
  E[i] \leftarrow canny(I, cannyParam_i)
  for j = 1 until h
     L[i][j] \leftarrow \text{HoughLines}(I, lineParam_i)
     s \leftarrow score(L[i][j]), tests if has
         enough information or
     excessive clutter.
if s > S then S \leftarrow s and S' \leftarrow L[i][j]
     endif
  endfor
endfor
Let (l_i, l_j) \in S'
Let V \leftarrow be the set of
                            line
    intersections.
for some rand pair of line
                                  segments
   (l_i, l_j) (RANSAC)
  t_{ij} \leftarrow intersection of (l_i, l_j).
  if constrains(t_{ij}) = 1 then
     add intersection to V
  endif
endfor
v \leftarrow clustering(V), verify
    where are the
    most predominant intersections
    according to x, y and k
return v, vector with 1 to 3 points
```

Line segments with a large number of intersections are considered clutter and have a lower weight in s, longer line segments give an extra weigh w(i) to

$$\sum_{i}^{n} \frac{w(i)}{int(i)+1} \tag{4}$$

Having chosen the line set with better *s* score, the same line set is analyzed for intersections between the lines. For efficiency reasons only some pairs of lines are tested using Random Sample Consensus (RANSAC). Each intersection  $t_{ij}$  is tested against several constraints, namely the intersection should be outside the two line segments and the line segments should have a slope difference larger than 10 degrees.

In the end, there should be a large number of twodimensional points populating the scene. The three vanishing points are extracted using a nearest neigh-



Figure 5: Vanishing Point analysis for Figure 4. On the top right: Canny filter analysis. Bottom right: detected line segments, oblique lines are in black. Left: main line intersection points in green and main vanishing point detected using clustering nearest neighbors.

bors approach to cluster the most common groups of points as seen in Figure 6. Each group must have a minimum number of points for the vanishing point to be considered. The vanishing point is the average position of the cluster points. Sometimes it may not be possible to find the three dominant vanishing points. If no vanishing point is found the algorithm tries the next line set from L with better score s.

#### 5.4 3D World Orientation

٩N

The real world orientation  $(\phi_2)$  depends on the direction in which the picture was taken. Currently we are considering that the Y axis (vertical) is fixed and the *X* and *Z* are free. The goal is to find the *X* and *Z* orientation of the scene in the photo. Most Manhattan world indoor scenes have parallel walls, the ceiling is usually parallel to the ground and corners are usually intersections of perpendicular planes.

Knowing the main vanishing points allows rotating the 3D virtual camera model in order to be aligned with the real world point of view. This means that when the depth of an object increases it will fade not to the center but to the direction of the vanishing point that corresponds to the Z axis. Furthermore objects should look like they are parallel to the elements in the scene (i.e., walls). Using the main vanishing point (the one with a larger point cloud) the virtual world is rotated in two axis to align the objects with the scene. The rotations depend on the fiel-of-view (fov) and on the main vanishing point vp. roty is the rotation around the Y axis and rotx is the same around the X axis.

$$\delta = tan(\pi \cdot fov/360) \tag{5}$$

$$liff_x = vp_x - middle_x, diff_y = vp_y - middle_y \quad (6)$$

$$rot_y = \arctan(diff_x \cdot \mathbf{0}/middle_x) \tag{1}$$

$$ot_x = \arctan(diff_y \cdot \delta/middle_y) \tag{8}$$

The above are only valid for small rotations ( $<\sim$  45 degrees) because for larger values the distortion is

too large. The detection of the scene orientation ( $\varphi_2$ ) is dependent on the success of the algorithm to detect  $\varphi_1$ . Additionally, the virtual floor ( $\varphi_3$ ) is assigned to be a plane in the world with a predefined negative y coordinate. This value is defined considering the average height that people take photos, but can later be changed by the user in the AR tool.

## 6 MIXED REALITY SYSTEM

The augmented reality (AR) framework takes into consideration the detected features vanishing points  $(\phi_1)$ , scene orientation  $(\phi_2)$  and floor  $(\phi_3)$ . The current prototypes explore several possibilities that can be achieved in AR applications using CV high-level features.

Using the 3D oriented model M (introduced in section 4) it is possible to define a virtual floor where users can lay 3D meshes. The users just have to push the objects back and forth, or left and right, in a 2D model that allows this freedom. This is an important feature since not all users have the skills to correctly visualize and place an object in a 3D world. Relieving the user from having to match the object with the floor and from having to orient the object to match the direction of the walls helps in the process. Figures 3 and 6 are examples of the 3D oriented world model with superimposed 3D objects that represent virtual furniture.

The introduction of virtual content should be done seamlessly, and the user should not be aware of all the processing that is involved in the application. In the user perspective, the interface should be effective, with some simple instruction hints. The objects and drawings should be placed with the correct perspective.

### 6.1 Implementation Details

The current framework, prototypes, examples and experiences were tested in a dual-core 2.53GHz computer with 4GB of RAM. Some usability tests presented in section 7 were conducted in a tablet with a single 1.33GHz processor with 4GB of RAM. All webcams were used with a resolution of 640 by 480 pixels. Imported photos had different resolutions with a minimum of 640 by 480.

The system is currently implemented in C++. The algorithms takes advantage of the OpenCV<sup>3</sup> library, especially the data types, the Hough-transform implementation, several image filters and image descrip-

tors. The interface and image/camera input is supported by the openFrameworks<sup>4</sup> library.

#### 6.2 Virtually Reshape a Room

One application for the detected features is a furniture testing system where users can photograph the place where they wish to add the piece and test virtually if the object fits and looks pleasant in that spot. One hypothesis, emulated by the current prototype (Figure 6), is to create guidelines around the virtual objects indicating if the object is placed on the floor or if it is aligned with the scene. A snapping system helps the user to ease the task of placing objects in the correct position.

The current guidelines are created taking into account the detected features  $\varphi$ . The snapping to the floor guideline, seen in yellow in Figure 6, is shown when the object reaches the invisible plane representing the ground (see subsection 5.4). Additionally the object can be tested to verify if it is inside the floor area or above scene objects. Using the previously calculated floor mask  $m_3$  (see subsection 5.2) it is possible to test if the object is really on the ground. Knowing the current projection matrix (Szeliski, 2010) *P*, the Model View matrix *V* and the *w* coordinates of the object (w = (x, y, z, 1)) it is possible through

$$w' = PVw \tag{9}$$

to obtain w', the screen coordinates of the object. Testing if the point belongs to the floor mask (if  $m_3(w')$  is positive) gives the information about the object being in the floor or in the rest of the scene. This technique can be used in different ways. The virtual objects can collide with the walls, floor and scene objects or can snap to the limits of the floor. The current prototype does not deal with occluded floors.



Figure 6: Example of the introduction of virtual objects that are aligned with the scene and snapped to the floor.(best seen in color)

The other implemented guideline appears when the object has the same orientation as the other main

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>OpenCV, http://opencv.willowgarage.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>OpenFrameworks, http://www.openframeworks.cc/

scene components. This guideline takes advantage of the scene orientation ( $\varphi_2$ ) feature and is shown in green in Figure 6. This could be an important feature in applications, since virtual objects can be presented as if parallel to the wall and scene objects, helping the immersion of the user and facilitating the positioning of the object in the scene.

### 6.3 Snake in Real-world Maze

Although conceptually simple the three detected features ( $\varphi_1$ ,  $\varphi_2$  and  $\varphi_3$ ) can be combined to recreate several well known applications, but with interaction with the real-world. The snake game is a well known computer game, in this game, a snake travels through a maze with obstacles and walls. The goal is to never hit a wall or obstacle and survive as much time as possible. Usually there are bonus objects to catch which make the player earn points but have the side effect of increasing the size of the snake and/or speeding up the game.

In order to showcase the detected features, a proof-of-concept application was created: a snake game based in a user image. It is a 3D snake game where the user creates the maze in the real world. The maze can be made of any material; it only needs to be sufficiently different from the background.

To prepare the game, the player should create a maze in an empty plane (e.g.,floor or table). To take advantage of scene orientation ( $\varphi_2$ ), the objects composing the maze should be orthogonal as in a Manhattan world the detection is improved. The user takes a picture of the scene from the desired position and roughly sketches the floor as seen in Figure 7. This will be used to detect the floor ( $\varphi_3$ ) of the maze. This process was already described in subsection 5.2.

The image is then analyzed to find the main vanishing points  $(\phi_1)$  and scene orientation  $(\phi_2)$ . Currently, there is a previous step of scale adjustment of the snake, but that could be, in the future, inferred by the size of the maze.

Finally the snake is positioned on the virtual plane and reacts to the detected floor (the maze in this context) as seen in Figure 7. The player looses when the snake leaves the maze. In this system the coordinates of the head of the snake are constantly being transformed using Equation 9 and tested against the mask  $m_3$  of the detected floor. With this simple game the user has the possibility to instantly change the level in a very tangible and direct form. As mentioned, any place can be used as scenario for the gameplay. Social interactions can arise where one user creates the labyrinth and other tries to complete it or survive a certain amount of time in it. Games can be played



Figure 7: Real world maze, made from sheets of papers. Maze selection, based on the floor selection presented in subsection 5.1.Using the room orientation and the mask m of the floor, a virtual snake travels through the paper maze.

with improbable scenarios such as monuments, casual areas or intimate places.

## 6.4 Other Possible Applications

The current prototypes implement the features described in the previous section. The goal is to construct a generic framework, with these and other features, so that different augmented reality applications can be explored. Examples include games that can be played in 3D in a user-designated scenario, space sharing and editing between known users or virtually reshaping a room with virtual objects.

## 7 EXPERIMENTS AND RESULTS

The current system extracts several features from an input image and presents an augmented reality interface based on the same features. Several tests (Nóbrega and Correia, 2012) have been made to assess the effectiveness of the used detection algorithms.

The detection of the floor mask m produces good results on most surfaces and images. Failures are usually related with low contrast images and non-Lambertian surfaces with high reflectance.

The detection of the vanishing points show a highdegree of success in Manhattan (Coughlan and Yuille, 1999) scenes.In order to assess the reliability of the vanishing point detection presented in subsection 5.3, the algorithm was tested with several images from different datasets. The system relies on the visual information available in each image, especially orthogonal lines. Other factors must be taken in consideration, such as image clutter, misleading lines and blurred images. Note that since the goal is to use this system in a interactive multimedia system, processing times should be kept as low as possible.

To evaluate the detection system, a large amount of images were collected and tested. For each image the two major vanishing points were annotated and the processing time registered. Next a visual application was created to evaluate the results and its correctness. The application seen in Figure 8, presents sequentially all the images from the dataset with three lines. The red line represents the most probable vanishing point detected. This will be the main vanishing point used to signal the scene and virtual objects orientation. The green line represents the second most probable vanishing point. The blue (horizontal) line represents the horizon line of the scene. Depending on the confidence level of the detection, the system may only present some lines and in worst-case scenario none. The goal of this application is to have a user that manually analyses each image and classifies each image as Correctly detected or Incorrectly detected. The operation is quickly achieved with two keyboard keys.

The algorithm was tested in four different datasets, each with its own characteristics:

- General: mixed collection of photos used to test the algorithm, that includes indoor and outdoor photos, old, blurred and pixelated images.
- Lab: photos from the interior of a building and a university campus.
- Flickr-Mix: Mix of photos obtained from Flickr<sup>5</sup> with keywords such as House, House Interior and Buildings. It includes some landscape images with nature.
- YorkUrbanDB: The York Urban Line Segment Database<sup>6</sup> is an online database used by Coughlan and Yuille (Coughlan and Yuille, 1999), which contains images of urban environments consisting mostly of scenes from the campus of York University and downtown Toronto, Canada. Most images follow the rule of the Manhattan world.

The results from the classification using the application presented in Figure 8 can be seen in Table 1 and Figure 9.

<sup>6</sup>York Urban DB, http://www.elderlab.yorku.ca/ YorkUrbanDB/.



Figure 8: The system automatically detected the most probable main vanishing point (red line) and the second most probable (green line). The blue line represents the detected horizon line. The above images are screenshots of the manual application used to verify the correctness of the automatic detection algorithm.

Table 1: Scene Orientation and Detection Data and Computational Processing Times.

	Photos	Total	Avg.	Success.
		Time	Time	Detec-
		(s)	(s)	tions
General	53	59.47	1.12	43
Lab	35	54.87	1.57	30
Flickr-Mix	317	908.4	2.87	182
YorkU.DB	102	190.58	1.87	81

The results show a high reliability of the algorithm with most datasets having a success rate around 80% (average combined success 66.27%). The system worked better with Manhattan scenes, especially house interiors. Outdoor images of city buildings also perform well. This is the reason for the good results in the Lab and YorkUrbanDB datasets. The Flickr-Mix was not so successful mostly due to problems related

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Flickr, http://www.flickr.com/.



Figure 9: Success rate for each dataset.

with outdoor nature such as trees, landscapes, and modern and unconventional architecture. The General dataset had images of lobbies, floors, tables and spaces suitable for the presented AR prototypes, and it also had a higher rate.

These results show that, although not 100% reliable in all situations, the current algorithm works in enough situations to be useful in a mixed reality interactive system. In a multimedia application, the user can be instructed to take pictures of places with enough line information or systems, as the one presented in subsection 5.1, can be used to increase the success rate of the detection. Additionally, since the processing time is under 3 seconds, the user can always take or use another picture if the first one was not correct.

# 8 CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

This paper introduces a new approach to the use of reconstructed models from single images in AR applications. The main contributions are a feature detecting system and an AR interface where virtual objects react to the scene. To validate the ideas proposed in the framework, two working prototypes are presented taking full advantage of the discussed high-level features. The first represents a multimedia application where virtual furniture and interesting objects could be used in the context of a house interior. The second is an application that makes use of ordinary physical objects to create the different game-levels of the virtual game. These are some of the possibilities that can be achieved with this system.

In the future, additional features could be pursued to enhance the applications. The vanishing points and horizon detection can also benefit greatly from the use of accelerometers to detect the direction of the floor, although this limits the system to devices that have these technologies (excluding, for example, most laptops and desktops). Our goal is to further explore these prototypes and develop better user interfaces to fully explore all the possible interactions and enhance the quality of the experience. We are also planning to release the software as open source so that other developers could create more complex applications such as games and photo-editing applications used seamlessly in devices with a camera. This could provide valuable insights about the current version of the framework and the path that should be followed regarding future developments.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was funded by FCT/MEC, through grant SFRH/BD/47511/2008, and CITI/FCT/UNL through grant PEst- OE/EEI/UI0527/2011.

## REFERENCES

- Coughlan, J. J. M. and Yuille, A. L. A. (1999). Manhattan World : Compass Direction from a Single Image by Bayesian Inference. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Computer Vision- Volume 2* (ICCV '99), volume 2, pages 1–10. IEEE Computer Society.
  - Crandall, D., Owens, A., Snavely, N., and Huttenlocher, D. (2011). Discrete-continuous optimization for largescale structure from motion. In *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR'11), 2011 IEEE Conference on*, pages 3001–3008. IEEE Computer Society.
  - Criminisi, A., Reid, I., and Zisserman, A. (2000). Single View Metrology. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 40(2):123–148.
  - Debevec, P. E., Taylor, C. J., and Malik, J. (1996). Modeling and Rendering Architecture from Photographs : A hybrid geometry- and image-based approach. In *Proceedings of the 23rd annual conference on Computer graphics and interactive techniques (SIG-GRAPH '96)*, pages 11–20. ACM.
  - Del Pero, L., Guan, J., Brau, E., Schlecht, J., and Barnard, K. (2011). Sampling bedrooms. In *Computer Vision* and Pattern Recognition (CVPR'11), 2011 IEEE Conference on, pages 2009–2016. IEEE Computer Society.
  - Forsyth, D. A. and Ponce, J. (2002). *Computer Vision: A Modern Approach*, volume 54. Prentice Hall.
  - Furukawa, Y., Curless, B., Seitz, S. M., and Szeliski, R. (2009). Manhattan-world stereo. In *Computer Vision* and Pattern Recognition (CVPR'09), 2009 IEEE Conference on, volume 0, pages 1422–1429. IEEE Computer Society.
  - Gould, S., Fulton, R., and Koller, D. (2009). Decomposing a Scene into Geometric and Semantically Consistent Regions. In *Computer Vision, 2009 IEEE 12th International Conference on (ICCV'09)*, number Iccv, pages 1–8. IEEE Computer Society.

- Gupta, A. (2010). Blocks world revisited: Image understanding using qualitative geometry and mechanics. *Computer VisionECCV 2010*, 125(1-2):482–496.
- Gupta, A., Satkin, S., Efros, A. a., and Hebert, M. (2011). From 3D scene geometry to human workspace. In *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR'11)*, 2011 IEEE Conference on, pages 1961–1968. IEEE Computer Society.
- Hedau, V. and Hoiem, D. (2009). Recovering the spatial layout of cluttered rooms. In *Computer Vision*, 2009 *IEEE 12th International Conference on (ICCV'09)*. IEEE Computer Society.
- Ishikawa, T., Thangamani, K., Kourogi, M., Gee, A. P., Mayol-Cuevas, W., Hyun, J., and Kurata, T. (2011). Interactive 3-D indoor modeler for virtualizing service fields. *Virtual Reality*.
- Izadi, S., Newcombe, R. A., Kim, D., Hilliges, O., Molyneaux, D., Hodges, S., Kohli, P., Shotton, J., Davison, A. J., and Fitzgibbon, A. (2011). Kinect-Fusion: real-time dynamic 3D surface reconstruction and interaction. In ACM SIGGRAPH 2011 Talks, UIST '11, page 23. ACM, ACM.
- Karsch, K., Hedau, V., and Forsyth, D. (2011). Rendering synthetic objects into legacy photographs. ACM Trans. Graph, 30(6):1–12.
- Klein, G. and Murray, D. (2007). Parallel Tracking and Mapping for Small AR Workspaces. 2007 6th IEEE and ACM International Symposium on Mixed and Augmented Reality, 07:1–10.
- Kowdle, A., Chang, Y., and Gallagher, A. (2011). Active Learning for Piecewise Planar 3D Reconstruction. In *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR'11)*, 2011 IEEE Conference on, pages 24–26. IEEE Computer Society.
- Lai, J., Chen, C., Wu, P., and Kao, C. (2011). Tennis real play: an interactive tennis game with models from real videos. In *Proceedings of the 19th ACM international conference on Multimedia - MM '11*, volume 3, pages 483–492.
- Lee, D. C., Hebert, M., and Kanade, T. (2009). Geometric reasoning for single image structure recovery. In *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR'09)*, 2009 IEEE Conference on, volume 0, pages 2136– 2143. IEEE Computer Society.
- Liu, B. and Gould, S. (2010). Single image depth estimation from predicted semantic labels. In *Computer Vi*sion and Pattern Recognition (CVPR'10), 2010 IEEE Conference on. IEEE Computer Society.
- Nóbrega, R. and Correia, N. (2012). Magnetic augmented reality: virtual objects in your space. In Proceeding of the 2012 International Working Conference on Advanced Visual Interfaces (AVI'12), pages 3–6. ACM.
- Pollefeys, M., Koch, R., and Gool, L. V. (1998). Selfcalibration and metric reconstruction in spite of varying and unknown internal camera parameters. *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR'98)*, 1998 IEEE Conference on, pages 90–95.
- Pollefeys, M., Nistér, D., Frahm, J. M., Akbarzadeh, A., Mordohai, P., Clipp, B., Engels, C., Gallup, D., Kim, S. J., Merrell, P., Salmi, C., Sinha, S., Talton, B., Wang, L., Yang, Q., Stewénius, H., Yang, R., Welch,

G., and Towles, H. (2007). Detailed Real-Time Urban 3D Reconstruction from Video. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 78(2-3):143–167.

- Rother, C. (2002). A new Approach to Vanishing Point Detection in Architectural Environments. *Elsevier*, (January 2002):1–17.
- Rother, C. and Kolmogorov, V. (2004). GrabCut Interactive Foreground Extraction using Iterated Graph Cuts. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)*.
- Saxena, A., Sun, M., and Ng, A. Y. (2009). Make3D: Learning 3D Scene Structure from a Single Still Image. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 31(5):824–40.
- Simon, G. (2006). Automatic online walls detection for immediate use in AR tasks. In *Mixed and Augmented Reality, 2006. ISMAR 2006.*, pages 4–7. IEEE Computer Society.
- Simon, G., Fitzgibbon, A. W., and Zisserman, A. (2000). Markerless tracking using planar structures in the scene. Proceedings IEEE and ACM International Symposium on Augmented Reality ISAR 2000, 9:120– 128.
- Sinha, S. N., Steedly, D., and Szeliski, R. (2009). Piecewise planar stereo for image-based rendering. 2009 IEEE 12th International Conference on Computer Vision, (Iccv):1881–1888.
- Szeliski, R. (2010). Computer vision: algorithms and applications. Springer.
- Tillon, A. and Marchal, I. (2011). Mobile augmented reality in the museum: Can a lace-like technology take you closer to works of art? In *Mixed and Augmented Reality - Arts, Media, and Humanities (ISMAR-AMH),* 2011 IEEE International Symposium On, number Figure 1, pages 41–47. IEEE Computer Society.
- Turcsanyi-Szabo, M. and Simon, P. (2011). Augmenting Experiences Bridge Between Two Universities. In Augmented Reality (ISMAR), 2011, pages 7–13.
- Wagner, D., Reitmayr, G., Mulloni, A., Drummond, T., and Schmalstieg, D. (2010). Real-time detection and tracking for augmented reality on mobile phones. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 16(3):355–368.
- Wang, H. and Gould, S. (2010). Discriminative Learning with Latent Variables for Cluttered Indoor Scene Understanding. In *Proceedings of the 11th European conference on Computer vision: Part IV (ECCV'10)*, pages 497–510. Springer-Verlag.
- Yu, S. X. and Malik, J. (2008). Inferring spatial layout from a single image via depth-ordered grouping. 2008 IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshops, pages 1–7.