Critical Activity Effect on Project Duration in Precedence Diagram Method Scheduling Network

S. A. Nisar and K. Suzuki

Department of Civil Engineering, Nagoya Institute of Technology, Gokiso-cho, Showa-ku, Nagoya, Japan

Keywords: Construction Project Management, Project Scheduling, Critical Path Method, Precedence Diagram Method.

Abstract: Precedence Diagram Method (PDM) scheduling network with its additional relationships i.e., start-to-start, finish-to-finish, and start-to-finish, between activities provides more flexible schedule than traditional Critical Path Method (CPM). But, changing the duration of critical activities in PDM network will have anomalous effect on critical path and project duration. Researchers have proposed some classification of critical activity effects. However, these classifications were not completed and could not indicate all the critical activity's characteristics. In this paper we do further study on classifications of critical activity effect and provide more information in detailed. Furthermore, we determine the maximum amount of time for each class of critical activity effect by which the project managers can control the dynamic feature (shortening/lengthening) of critical activities and project duration more efficiently.

1 INTRODUCTION

Schedule is the key element in the management of construction projects. A project schedule establishes the start date, duration, completion date, and resource needs for each activity in the project. Mistakes in the schedule may cause the project team to allocate resources to the wrong place at the wrong time or may prevent the parties from accurately assessing the information whether the project is ahead of or behind the schedule.

Critical Path Method (CPM), which is developed in the 1950s, is a powerful network diagramming for representation of activities of project. The CPM has been widely used as a construction project management tool to improve scheduling and project administration tasks, and supporting project managers to ensure a project to be completed on time and within the budget (Ahuja, 1994).

In CPM, once the project network is drawn the following steps are performed: (i) a forward pass to determine the earliest start time (ES) and earliest finish time (EF) for activities, (ii) a backward pass to determine the latest start time (LS) and latest finish time (LF) for activities, (iii) float calculations and (iv) identification of critical activities and critical path(s). This information is very important for the project manager to plan and control the project more actively and efficiently (Ahuja, 1994).

Critical path and Float are the most important concepts among the all information in a project schedule. There are several types of floats, of which the simplest and most important type of float is total float (TF) and free float (FF). TF is the maximum amount of time for which the finish date of an activity can be delayed without affecting the completion of the entire project. TF is calculated as the difference between LS and ES or between LF and EF of an activity. Free float (FF) is the amount of time for which the finish date of an activity can be delayed without affecting the start time of any other activities in a project. FF is calculated as the difference between the earliest ES among all the immediate successors of an activity and the EF of that activity. Critical path is the longest ordered sequence of activities through the project schedule, and it determines the earliest time by which a project can be completed. This time is often known as the project duration but more commonly as the critical path. A schedule may have more than one critical path. Each activity in critical path is known as a critical activity. The critical activity has zero TF. When any of them is delayed, it causes a delay in the project completion date.

However, the traditional CPM was essentially limited to finish-to-start (FS) relationships between activities, i.e., the successor activity cannot start

until the predecessor activity is completed. Therefore, it could not allow overlapping unless activities were further divided (Fondahl, 1961). Precedence Diagram Method (PDM), which was developed based on the concept of CPM analysis, introduced three alternative relationships, i.e., start-to-start (SS), finish-to-finish (FF), and start-to-finish; and lag factor between various activities. The PDM is also called activity on node (AON) network, and some authors call both methods (CPM and PDM) as CPM. PDM with three additional relationships between activities has provided a more flexible realistic project representation in schedule networks and more accurately reflects the sequence of construction operations as they occur in real life (Ahuja, 1994). There are various computer software packages available such as Primavera P6 and MS Project, which provide the PDM schedule.

PDM seems to be more friendly compare to the CPM. For example, suppose that providing concrete floor for a warehouse needs three construction activities. Let activity A is "install formwork, activity B is "reinforcement arrangement", and activity C is "pour concrete". The duration of each activity is estimated as 4, 4 and 2 days, respectively. Based on CPM assumption the technological relationship between these three activities will be finish-to-start, and they have to be executed as series. Therefore, the project duration would be 10 days. Whereas, in PDM as shown in Fig.1, activity A "reinforce arrangement" and activity B "install formwork" can be executed concurrently so that start-to-start with two days lag would be the prober relationship between them. Thus, the PDM relationship reduces the project duration to 8 days.

However, the new relationships of PDM can change some of the basic concept of critical activities and critical path. According basic definition of critical activity in CPM, the shortening/lengthening of a critical activity on critical path always results in decreased/increased project duration. But, this definition does not always apply on PDM. Crashing some critical activity in


Figure 1: PDM schedule with SS relation.

PDM in order to reduce the project duration can have anomalous effects (Wiest, 1981; Wiest and Levy, 1977).

To better illustrate the anomalous effect of new relationships of PDM on critical activities, consider the simple project schedule that shows on Activity-on-Node network in Fig.2. Each rectangle in network represents a project activity. The technological relationships between activities are indicated by arrows. The project consists of 7 activities. Activities S and F are assumed to be artificial activities indicating the project commencement and the project completion, respectively. The results of CPM calculation are shown in Fig.3 as bar-chart fashion along a horizontal time scale. The sequence of activities and precedence arrows denoted by bold line, represent the critical path. As shown in

Fig.3, we can identify activities 1, 4, 5, 6, and 7 as critical (zero total float). First, let us to define the characteristics of a critical activity in traditional CPM as: (i) any delay in the start time of a critical activity will result in a delay in the project duration, (ii) any change in the length of a critical activity will result the same change in the entire project duration. The first characteristic is true on all critical activities in schedule network in Fig.3. The second characteristic is still true on critical activities 1, 6, and 7. However, this characteristic cannot be true on critical activities 4 and 5. For example, shortening activity 4 would have reversely effect on project duration, meaning it will increase the project duration. Conversely, lengthening it will decrease


Figure 2: PDM schedule network.

Figure 3: PDM schedule network on horizontal time scale.

the project duration. Also, shortening and lengthening of activity 6 has no effect on project duration. As shown in Fig.3, these anomalous affects are indicated on critical activities that have SS and/or FF relationships.

In this paper we provide some important information for critical activity effect on project duration. In addition, we determine the maximum amount of time by which changing the duration of a critical activity will have anomalous effect on project duration. Therefore, the project manager will clearly distinguish the behavior of each critical activity on critical path, and he can change the project duration by shortening/lengthening activities based on project budget and project deadline.

2 PREVIOUS RESEARCHES

Some previous researchers have studied on critical activity and critical path in PDM.

Lu and Lam (2009) proposed a "transform schemes" in order to detect and transform the new relationships of PDM network i.e., SS, FF, and SF, into equivalent FS relationship by splitting activities. However, some activity may require not to be split during its execution. Therefore, this scheme would not be feasible for such project with non-interruptible activities.

Wiest (1981) descried the effects of critical activities with SS and FF relationships. He defined the critical path as alternating sequence of activities and precedence arrows, starting and ending with activities or activity extreme points. Weist (1981) classified the critical activities as primary classifications in PDM network as *normal*, *reverse*, and *neutral*.

1) If a critical path passes through an activity from start to finish, then the activity's effect on the

critical path or project duration is *normal*. Its lengthening will increase the critical path, and shortening it will have the opposite effect.

2) If a critical path passes through an activity from finish to start, then the activity's effect on the project duration is anomalous. Its lengthening will shorten the critical path, and its shortening will lengthen the path. Such critical activity is denoted as *reverse*.

3) If a critical path enters and exits from the starting point of an activity (or ending point), then the duration of activity is independent of the length of that critical path. The activity is called as *neutral* critical.

Wiest (1981) also mentioned that when an activity is on more than one critical path, then the classification would depend on the combination of paths. The combination of *normal* and *reverse* is *perverse*, meaning if one critical path passes through an activity from start to finish and another one enters and exists from its starting point (or ending point), then whether the activity is shortened or lengthened, the project duration will be increased. Such activity is called *perverse* critical. He also stated that the combination of normal and neutral is normal, the combination of *neutral* and *reverse* is *reverse*, and the combination of all primary classification i.e., *neutral*, *normal*, and *reverse*, will be *perverse*. However, all these statement are not true. We will show in section 4 that the combination of *normal* and *neutral*; and the combination of *neutral* and *reverse* will have different effects that Wiest (1981) have proposed.

Moder et al. (1983) proposed the same classification to the abovementioned. But the only different is that they divided *neutral* into two classes i.e., start *neutral* and finish *neutral*, and also they named the *perverse* as *bicritical*.

These classifications provide useful information about the behavior of critical activities in PDM

network, when the project manager needs to change the length of critical activities. However, further study is needed in order to provide information in detailed on classification of critical activity effect. In addition, it is needed to determine how long time a certain effect of critical activity would be available during shortening/lengthening of such activity. Because, after shortening/lightening of a critical activity by a certain time unit(s), the activity's effect on project duration may be changed. For example, as the previous classification, activity 5 in Fig.3 has *neutral* effect, meaning its lengthening/shortening would not change the project duration. However, when its duration is increased by 2 days, then it will change to *normal* critical, and then lengthening it will increase the project duration.

3 NETWORK FORMULATION AND BASIC ASSUMPTION

We consider a single project schedule which is represented by an Activity-On-Node (AON) network in which the activities are denoted by node (circle or rectangle) and the predecessor relationships between predecessor activity i and successor j is shown by an arrow connecting the two nodes. There may exist four types of relationships i.e., SF_{ij}, SS_{ij}, FF_{ij}, and FS_{ij}, with minimum lag time l_{ij} between activities i and j. Each activity is non-preemptive or cannot split during its execution. It is assumed that the resource requirement for each activity is unlimited. The start time of the project is considered as unit time 0. For each activity, the duration and precedence relations are assumed to be deterministic and known in advance.

To avoid having more start activities in the network, an artificial activity with zero duration is used as start activity. If the start of an activity has no predecessor activity, then the start activity is nominated as its direct predecessor with FS relationship. An artificial activity is used as finish activity. This also helps to have only one finish activity. If the finish of an activity has no successor activity, then the finish activity is used as its direct successor.

4 CLASSIFICATIONS OF CRITICAL ACTIVITIES

Based on the location of critical activities in the schedule, we classify their effects in two groups i.e.,

activity on single critical path and activity on multi-critical path. If the critical activity is located on single path, it is called as primary classification by Wiest (1981). But, if it is located on multi-path, then its effect will determine as their combination. The critical path in PDM may define as: the alternating sequence of activities and precedence arrows, starting and finishing with activities or activity extreme points. All the precedence arrows always move forward, and hence an increase in the length (lag time) of a critical precedence arrow will lengthen the project duration. The classifications are described in detailed and depicted in figures as follows. Note that each arrow in the figures represents the critical path direction.

4.1 Critical Activity on Single Path

If a critical activity is located on a single path, then it would classify as primary in 3 classes. The other classification will be provided by combination of these 3 primary classes. In this paper we accept the proposed primary classification by Wiest (1981).

1) *Normal* (*N*): denotes an activity that lengthening it will lengthen the project duration and shortening it will increase the project duration. Fig.4 show a normal critical activity that critical path ab passed through the activity from start to finish.


Figure 4: *Normal* critical activity.

2) *Reverse* (*R*): denotes an activity that lengthening it will shorten the project duration and shortening it will decrease the project duration. Fig.5 depicts the *reverse* critical activity in which a single critical path of *ab* passed through the activity from finish to start.


Figure 5: *Reverse* critical activity.

3) *Neutral* (*U*): denotes an activity that its length is independent of the project duration. There are two types of *neutral* critical activities. (a) *Start-neutral* (*SU*): if a critical path enters and exits from the starting point of an activity, then the activity is called *start-neutral* critical. (b) *Finish-neutral* (*FU*): if a critical path enters and exits from the ending point of an activity, then the activity is called *finish-*

neutral critical. Fig.6 shows the *start-neutral* and *finish-neutral* critical activities.


(a)   (b)

Figure 6: (a) *start-neutral* critical activity,
(b) *finish-neutral* critical activity.

4.2 Critical Activity on Multi-Path

If multi-path passes through a critical activity, then the effect of activity on project duration would be depended on the type of combination of paths. Although, Wiest (1981) have stated the effect of critical activity when it is located on more than one critical path, all the statement is not true. For example, as Wiest (1981) have mentioned that the combination of *neutral* and *reverse* will result *reverse*. However, in following we will show that it would have different result.

1)   *Perverse* (*P*): denotes an activity which is provided by combination of *reverse* and *normal* critical paths. Whether the duration of a *perverse* critical activity is shortened or lengthened, the project duration will be increased. Fig.7 depicts a *perverse* critical activity in which the critical path *ab* (*reverse* path) enters and exits from finish to start of activity A, while the critical path *cd* (*normal* path) enters and exits from start to finish of the activity. Shortening the activity A in Fig.7 will decrease the path *cd*, but increase the path *ab*. And lengthening it will decrease the path *ab*, but increase the path *cd*. Therefore, whether activity A is shortened or lengthened, at least one path will increase, and hence the project duration will also increase.


Figure 7: *Perverse* critical activity.

2)   *Decrease-reverse* (*DR*): the combination of *neutral* (*start-neutral* or *finish-neutral*) and *reverse* will result *decrease-reverse* effect on project duration. Shortening a *decrease-reverse* activity will increase the project duration, but lengthening it will have no effect on project duration. For example, shortening activity A in Fig.8 will increase the path *ab*, but have no effect on path *cb*. Then, the project

duration will increase because the path *ab* which would be the longest path, will determine the project duration. Lengthening this activity will decrease the path *ab*, but have no effect on path *cb*. So, the project duration will not be changed. Because path *cb* would be the longest path and it will determine the project duration.


(a)   (b)

Figure 8: (a) start-decrease-reverse critical activity,
(b) finish-decrease-reverse critical activity.

3)   *Increase-normal* (*IN*): the combination of *neutral* (*start-neutral* or *finish-neutral*) and *normal* will result *increase-normal* effect on project duration. Lengthening an *increase-normal* activity will increase the project duration, but shortening it will have no effect on project duration. For example, lengthening activity A in Fig.9 will increase the path *ac*, but have no effect on path *ab*. Then, the project duration will increase. Shortening the activity will decrease the path *ac*, but have no effect on path *ab*. Therefore, the project duration will not be changed.


Figure 9: *Increase-normal* critical activities.

5 DETERMINING FLOAT FOR CRITICAL ACTIVITY

5.1 Float for Non-Critical Activity

Before identifying float for critical activity in PDM, it is needed to have an observation on traditional definition of float. An activity with positive float is called as non-critical activity. A non-critical activity may have several types of floats i.e., total float (TF), free float (FF), and independent float (IF). Each type of float gives us important information about the characteristic and the flexibility of activity. TF is the maximum amount of time an activity can be delayed from its early start without delaying the entire project. FF is defined as the maximum amount of time an activity can be delayed without delaying the

early start of the succeeding activities. FF is the part of TF, hence it is always true that TF $\geq$ FF. Independent float is the maximum amount of time an activity can be delayed without delaying the early start of the succeeding activities and without being affected by the allowable delay of the preceding activities (Mubarak, 2008).

So far, all floats are associated with non-critical activity. In this study we introduce some new types of float associated with critical activity. These float would concretely define the characteristic of a critical activity in PDM network.

5.2 Float for Critical Activity

An activity with zero TF is denoted as critical activity. Obviously if TF of an activity is zero, then its FF and IF will be also zero. Because FF and IF are the parts of TF (Moder et al., 1983). However, a critical activity in PDM may have several type of floats other than TF, FF, and IF.

1. *Reverse float* (*RF*): it is the maximum amount of time associated with a reverse critical activity that when the length of activity is extended, then critical path will be decreased. After consuming the entire *reverse float*, the activity effect will be changed to *decrease-critical*. For example, lengthening activity 4 (which is a *reverse* critical activity) in Fig.3 by 1 day will shorten the project duration from 15 to 14 days. Afterward lengthening it would have no anymore effect on project duration, and it would be changed to decrease reverse activity. Therefore, this activity has 1 day *reverse float*. The *reverse float* is calculated from following equation.

$$RF_R = min \begin{cases} ES_R - EF_i - l_{iR}, & \forall FS_{iR} \\ ES_R - ES_i - l_{iR}, & \forall SS_{iR} \\ LS_j - EF_R - l_{Rj}, & \forall FS_{Rj} \\ LF_j - EF_R - l_{Rj}, & \forall FF_{Rj} \end{cases} \qquad (1)$$

where, R is the *reverse* critical activity, RF_R is *reverse float* of activity R, i is the predecessor activity, and j is the successor activity.

2. *Neutral float* (*UF*): it is the maximum amount of time associated with *neutral* critical activity by which the length of activity can be extended without affecting the duration of critical path. After use of all *neutral float*, the activity effect will be changed to *normal* critical. For example, activity 5 in Fig.3 is *neutral* critical so that lengthening it by 2 days will have no effect on project duration. But, lengthening it by more than 2 days will increase the project duration. Thus, activity 6 has 2 days of *UF*. The *UF* is calculated from equations 2 and 3.

For *start-neutral* critical activity:

$$UF_U = min \begin{cases} LS_j - EF_U - l_{Uj}, & \forall FS_{Uj} \\ LF_j - EF_U - l_{Uj}, & \forall FF_{Uj} \end{cases} \qquad (2)$$

For *finish-neutral* critical activity:

$$UF_U = min \begin{cases} ES_U - EF_i - l_{iU}, & \forall FS_{iU} \\ ES_U - ES_i - l_{iU}, & \forall SS_{iU} \end{cases} \qquad (3)$$

where, U is the *neutral* critical activity and UF_U is *neutral float* of activity U.

3. *Decrease-reverse float* (*DF*): it is the maximum amount of time associated with *decrease-reverse* critical activity by which lengthening the activity would not effect on project duration. After consumption of *DF*, the activity effect will be changed to *perverse* critical. For example, let us consider on the simple schedule network in Fig.10 in which the activity 3 is a *decrease-reverse* critical. When the duration of activity 3 is lengthened by 2 days, it would have no effect on project duration. But, if it is lengthened by 3 days (or more than 2 days), then the project duration will be increased. Thus, activity 3 in Fig.10 has 2 days *DF*. The *DF* is calculated from equations 4 and 5.

For *start-decrease-reverse* critical activity:

$$DF_D = min \begin{cases} LS_j - EF_D - l_{Dj}, & \forall FS_{Dj} \\ LF_j - EF_D - l_{Dj}, & \forall FF_{Dj} \end{cases} \qquad (4)$$

For *finish-decrease-reverse* critical activity:

$$DF_D = min \begin{cases} ES_D - EF_i - l_{iD}, & \forall FS_{iD} \\ ES_D - ES_i - l_{iD}, & \forall SS_{iD} \end{cases} \qquad (5)$$

where, D is the *decrease-reverse* critical activity and DF_D is *decrease-reverse float* of activity D.


Figure 10: Activity 3 has 2 days *DF*.

6 CASE STUDY

To better illustrate the critical activity classification and identifying the proposed floats for each class, we use a slightly more complicate example which is taken from text of Wiest and Levy [4]. It is assumed

that there is the construction of a large condominium project, in which a number of essentially identical housing units are built sequentially. The example follows three units only and is concerned with just the laying of cement slabs. Performing laying of cement slab for each unit is broken down into 5 activities as follows.

1) Clear lot and grade   8 hours
2) Place concrete forms   12 hours
3) Lay sewer lines   16 hours
4) Install reinforcement steel   9 hours
5) Pour concrete and smooth   4 hours

Total number of activity that must be performed for 3 units is 15 activities. These activities are numbered sequentially, unit by unit as: activities 1 through 5 refer to unit 1, activities 6 through 10 refer to unit 2, and activity 11 through 15 refer to unit 3. For example, activity 2 is "Place concrete forms, unit 2" and activity 14 is "Install reinforcement steel, unit 3." The example data with proper precedence relationships are shown in Table 1. Fig.11 represents the schedule network which is drawn on Activity-On-Node fashion, with precedence arrows connecting the activities at the appropriate ends (start or finish). The time lag factor (l_{ij}) is inside the box attached to arrows. Using the forward and backward calculations of PDM algorithm, as shown in Table 2, we calculated the *ES, EF, LS, LF, TF,*

and the project duration. The project duration is 56 working hours. We can observe the critical activities (activity with zero *TF*) in Table 2 as activities 1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 12, 13, 14, and 15. These activities are denoted by bold numbers in Table 2. Also, we identified 3 following critical paths in Fig.11: (1) the sequences activities of 1, 3, 8, 13, 12, 14, and 15 connecting by precedence relationships of $FS_{1,3}$, $SS_{3,8}$, $SS_{8,13}$, $FF_{13,12}$, $SS_{12,14}$, $FS_{14,15}$; (2) the sequences activities of 1, 3, 2, 7, 12, 14, and 15 connecting by precedence relationships of $FS_{1,3}$, $FF_{3,2}$, $SS_{2,7}$, $SS_{7,12}$, $SS_{12,14}$, $FS_{14,15}$; and (3) the sequences activities of 1, 3, 8, 7, 12, 14, and 15 connecting by precedence relationships of $FS_{1,3}$, $SS_{3,8}$, $FF_{8,7}$, $SS_{7,12}$, $SS_{12,14}$, $FS_{14,15}$. The duration of critical path in PDM will be calculated from following equation.

$$d_{Pi} = \sum d_{Ni} + \sum l_{ij} - \sum d_{Ri} \qquad (6)$$

where, d_{Pi} is the duration of path, d_{Ni} the duration of *normal* activity, l_{ij} is the duration lag factor, and d_{Ri} is the duration of *reverse* activity.

For example, the duration of critical path 1 is calculated as:

$\sum d_{Ni} = (d_1 + d_{13} + d_{14} + d_{15}) = 37$
$\sum l_{ij} = (l_{3,8} + l_{8,13} + l_{13,12} + l_{12,14} + l_{14,15}) = 31$
$\sum d_{Ri} = (d_{12}) = 12$
$d_{P1} = 37 + 31 - 12 = 56$

Table 1: Activity information for laying cement slabs.

Activity	Description	Duration	Precedence
1	Clear & Grade 1	8	
2	Con. Forms 1	12	1 FS, 3 FF=2
3	Sewe Lines 1	16	1 FS
4	Reinforcement 1	9	2 FF=1 & SS=7, 3 SS=7
5	Pour Concrete 1	4	4 FS=2
6	Clear & Grade 2	8	1 FS
7	Con. Forms 2	12	2 SS=10, 6 FS, 8 FF & SS=7
8	Sewe Lines 2	16	3 SS=10, 6 FS
9	Reinforcement 2	9	4 FS, 7 SS=7 & FF=1, 8 SS=7 & FF
10	Pour Concrete 2	4	5 SS=2, 9 FS=2
11	Clear & Grade 3	8	6 FS
12	Con. Forms 3	12	7 SS=10, 11 FS, 13 FF=2
13	Sewe Lines 3	16	8 SS=10, 11 FS
14	Reinforcement 3	9	9 FS, 12 SS=7 & FF=1, 13 SS=7 & FF
15	Pour Concrete 3	4	10 SS=2, 14 FS=2

Table 2: Schedule result of laying cement slabs.

Act.	ES	EF	LS	LF	TF	RF	UF	DF	Class
1	0	8	0	8	0	–	–	–	N
2	14	26	14	26	0	3	–	–	R
3	8	24	8	24	0	–	–	–	IN
4	21	30	23	32	2	–	–	–	
5	32	36	44	48	12	–	–	–	
6	8	16	10	18	2	–	–	–	
7	24	36	24	36	0	–	–	3	DR
8	18	34	18	34	0	–	–	–	IN
9	31	40	32	41	1	–	–	–	
10	42	46	50	54	8	–	–	–	
11	16	24	20	28	4	–	–	–	
12	34	46	34	46	0	–	–	3	DR
13	28	44	28	44	0	–	–	–	N
14	41	50	41	50	0	–	–	–	N
15	52	56	52	56	0	–	–	–	N

An observation should be noted about activity 12 in Fig.11. The activity 12 has *reverse* effect on critical path 1, and it has *neutral* effect on critical paths 2 and 3. However, it would have *decrease-reverse* effect on project duration.

Figure 11: PDM schedule network of laying cement slabs.

As shown in Fig.11, the critical paths (the sequences of critical activities and critical precedence arrows) are highlighted by bold line. We classify the critical activity effect as follows: activities 1, 13, and 15 are *normal* (*N*); activity 2 is *reverse* (*R*); activity 14 is *start-neutral* (*SU*); activity 7 is *decrease-reverse* (*DR*); and activities 3, 8, and 12 are *increase-normal* (*IN*). Using the proposed equations (1) to (5) described earlier, we provided floats for critical activities i.e., *RF, NF*, and *DF*, in columns 7 to 9 of Table 2.

7 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE RESEARCH

Traditional Critical Path Method (CPM) scheduling technique is essentially limited to finish-to-start (FS) relationships between activities, i.e., the successor activity cannot start until the predecessor activity is completed. Therefore, it could not allow overlapping unless activities were further divided. Precedence Diagram Method (PDM), which was developed based on the concept of CPM analysis, introduced three alternative relationships, i.e., start-to-start (SS), finish-to-finish (FF), and start-to-finish; and lag factor between various activities. The PDM provides a more flexible realistic project representation in schedule networks and more accurately reflects the

sequence of construction operations as they occur in real life. There are various computer software packages available such as Primavera P6 and MS Project, which provide the PDM schedule network. However, the new relationships of PDM can change some of the basic concept of critical activities and critical path. According basic definition of critical activity in CPM, the shortening/lengthening of a critical activity on critical path always results in decreased/increased project duration. But, this definition does not always apply on PDM. Changing the duration of some critical activity in PDM can have anomalous effects.

Previous researchers have studied on critical activity and critical path, and they have proposed classification of critical activity. However, these classifications were not completed and could not indicate all the critical activity's characteristics. In this paper we did further research on classification of critical activity effects which are proposed by previous researchers, and introduced new floats for each class of critical activities in PDM schedule. Thus, project managers will clearly distinguish the behavior of each critical activity on critical path, and they can change the project duration by shortening/lengthening activities based on the project budget and the project deadline.

However, in this study we assumed that the available resource requirement for activities is unlimited. This assumption is not valid in most

321

practical applications, wherein exist definite limits on the amount of available resources. Therefore, further research is needed to evaluate the effect of critical activity on project duration in PDM network while considering resource limitation.

REFERENCES

Ahuja, H. N., 1994. *Project Management-Techniques in Planning and Controlling Construction Project*, 2nd ed., John Wiley & Sons, New York.

Fondahl, J. W., 1961. A non-computer approach to the critical path method for the construction industry, *J. Constr. Eng. Manage.,* vol. 132 no. 7, pp. 697-711.

Wiest, J. D., 1981. Precedence diagramming method: Some unusual characteristics and their implications for project managers, *J. of Operations Manage.*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 121–130, Feb.

Wiest, D. J., Levy K. F., 1977. *A Management Guide to PERT/CPM with GERT/DCPM and other Networks*, 2nd ed., Prentice-Hall, Inc., USA.

Lu, M., Lam, H. C., 2009. Transform Schemes Applied on Non-Finish-to-Start Logical Relationships in Project Network Diagrams," *J. Constr. Eng. Manage.,* vol. 135, no. 9, pp. 863-873, Sep.

Moder, J.J., Phillips, C.R., David, E. W., 1983. *Project Management with CPM, PERT and Precedence Diagramming,* 3th ed., Van Nostrand Reinhold, USA.

Mubarak, S., 2008. *Construction project Scheduling and Control*, 2nd ed., John Wiley & Sons, Canada.